



Enabling causal systems understanding using a conceptual modelling workbench

Zitek A.¹, Noble, R. ³, Poppe, M. ¹, Stelzhammer, M.¹, Muhar S.¹, Bredeweg, B.²

¹University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria ²University of Amsterdam, Informatics Institute, Amsterdam, Netherlands ³The University of Hull International Fisheries Institute, Hull







DynaLearn

Engaging and informed tools for learning conceptual system knowledge

BENEFICIARIES



University of Amsterdam The Netherlands, <u>(Coordinator)</u>, Human Computer Studies Laboratory



Universidad Politécnica de Madrid Spain, Ontology Engineering Group



University of Augsburg Germany, Multimedia Concepts and Applications



University of Brasília Brazil, Institute of Biological Sciences



Tel Aviv University Israel, Science and Technology Education Center

University of Hull United Kingdom, Hull International Fisheries Institute



Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Bulgaria, Central Laboratory of General Ecology



University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences Austria Institute of Hydrobiology and Aquatic Ecosy

Austria, Institute of Hydrobiology and Aquatic Ecosystem Management

BOKU:

- MUHAR Susanne (IHG)
- ZITEK Andreas
- STELZHAMMER Michael
- POPPE Michaela

Duration:

 $- \ 01.02.2009 \hbox{-} 31.01.2012$

Programme:

- FP7 Cooperation Information and Communication Technologies (ICT)
- EU-Project Instruments
- Spec. Target. o Inn. Project (STREP)

Target:

 Production of an interactive software for learning conceptual system knowledge on environmental issues, especially with regard to river catchments.





Aims of the DynaLearn (DL) project

- Development of a software that supports students in learning about systems allowing
 - to articulate one`s own ideas about systems
 - to simulate scenarios and viewpoints without the need of using numbers -> focus on conceptual & causal understanding
 - to confront one`s ideas with expert models
- The DynaLearn Software
 - is based on qualitative reasoning (area of AI)
 - semantic technology
 - has several interesting and engaging features (simulations, grounding to domain terminology, automated feedback via a model repository and animated characters)



Challenge of river management

Integrated river basin management is one of the biggest challenges of the 21st century (UNESCO IHE)

- co-dependence of people and the biophysical dimensions of ecosystems have to be acknowledged
- Can only be achieved when physical, chemical, biological, social, economic and political issues are considered adequately.





Integrative frameworks needed...



Understanding of trajectories from past and present to future system states only possible using **integrative causal frameworks...**

Hulse et al., 2009



Modelling technology used in DL

- Model representations can be divided into
 Qualitative
 - Mental
 - Pictural/physical representations
 - Verbal models ("prosa" models)
 - Concept maps
 - Causal loop diagrams (with only plus and minus)
 - Stock flow diagrams (separation in stocks and flows)
 - Statistical models
 - Equations



Qualitative Reasoning



Describing a system without numbers. Using rates (flows) and system states. System states are of ordinal scale and represent temporarily ordered landmarks of system behaviour.



A framework for interdisciplinary understanding of rivers as ecosystems

E.S.J. Dollar^a, C.S. James^a, K.H. Rogers^{a,*}, M.C. Thoms^{b,1}

^a Centre for Water in the Environment, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa ^b Water Research Centre and the CRC for Freshwater Ecology, University of Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

Received 10 January 2005; received in revised form 27 July 2006; accepted 27 July 2006 Available online 12 October 2006



Backbones of the proposed approach

- Concept maps
- Causal diagrams
- System & Pattern oriented modelling (POM)
 - Archetypes
 - Powerful other system patterns control circuits
- Ecosystem theories
 - Thermodynamics
 - Hierarchy theory
 - Scale, grain extent, possibilities of arrangements of entities and their possible ways influenceing each other
- Consideration of different types of causality in different systems (technical 'trivial systems' versus biological ,non trivial systems')
- Features of the DynaLearn workbench built on QR





Grounding terms and getting semantic feedback







LS 1 - Concept map



Causal diagram of a hydrologic system



+

Precipitation

Energy input by radiation

Amount of snow and glacial melt

Amount of surface runoff

+

Amount of groundwater runoff

Amount of water in the river



Causal modeling in DynaLearn LS 2





LS4 – Causal differentiation model (following the SD paradigm)



Habitats: Substrate size

High Average Low



LS5 - Conditional hierar causal model of a river ca 1 2 3 4 5 6





- Complex systems can be captured in conceptual causal dynamic models following common ecosystem theories.
- Individual and social learning enriched by feedback from expert models can be supported.
- A model library of evidence based causal models and most (arche)typical management problems represents a valuable source of information.



www.dynalearn.eu





andreas.zitek@boku.ac.at



Challenge of causal data integration

- Increasing production of environmental data
- Advanced statistical data mining methods are able to provide partial evidence
- BUT: causal reasoning frameworks are lacking to integrate these fragmented relations of evidence
- Only a clear causal understanding of ecological phenomena represents a reasonable basis for developing experimental hypotheses and determining frameworks for environmental management.